

NOTTINGHAM CITY COUNCIL

WOLLATON AND LENTON ABBEY AREA COMMITTEE (AREA 7)

Date: Monday 3 September 2012

Time: 5.00pm

Place: Dining Room at Council House

Councillors are requested to attend the above meeting on the date and at the time and place stated to transact the following business.



Acting Corporate Director of Resources

Constitutional Services Officer: Martin Parker Direct dial - 8764303

AGENDA

1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

2 DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS¶

3 MINUTES

Last meeting held on 21 May 2012 (for confirmation)

Attached

4 ITEMS IDENTIFIED BY AREA COMMITTEE COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVES

Community Representatives to report

5 REPLACEMENT OF STREET LIGHTING IN WOLLATON AND LENTON ABBEY

Presentation at the meeting by Pennie Sudbury, SSE Contract Lighting Services

6 STREAMLINING INVESTMENT TO THE VOLUNTARY COMMUNITY SECTOR

Report of Director for Quality and Commissioning

Available
at meeting

7 DOG CONTROL ORDER - WOLLATON EAST AND LENTON ABBEY

Report of Director of Community Protection

Attached

8 WOLLATON PARK PLAYGROUND

Report of Director of Neighbourhood Services

Attached

9 AREA CAPITAL FUND

Report of Director of Neighbourhood Services

Attached

10 ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY- WARD ALLOCATIONS

Report of Director of Neighbourhood Services

Attached

11 WARD PERFORMANCE

Report of Director of Neighbourhood Services

Attached

IF YOU ARE UNSURE WHETHER OR NOT YOU SHOULD DECLARE AN INTEREST IN A PARTICULAR MATTER, PLEASE CONTACT THE CONSTITUTIONAL SERVICES OFFICER SHOWN ON THIS AGENDA, IF POSSIBLE BEFORE THE DAY OF THE MEETING, WHO WILL PROVIDE ADVICE IN THE FIRST INSTANCE

Agenda, reports and minutes for all public meetings can be viewed online at:-
<http://open.nottinghamcity.gov.uk/comm/default.asp>

NOTTINGHAM CITY COUNCIL**WOLLATON AND LENTON ABBEY AREA COMMITTEE (AREA 7)****MINUTES**

of meeting held on **21 MAY 2012** at the Council House
from 5.02 pm to 6.18 pm

- ✓ Councillor Morley (Chair)
- ✓ Councillor Parton (Vice-Chair)
- ✓ Councillor Culley
- ✓ Councillor Fox
- ✓ Councillor Longford

Community Representatives

- ✓ Mr D Allen) North Wollaton Residents' Association
- ✓ Mrs L Dilks)
- ✓ Mrs P Peck - Wollaton Park Community Association
- Mr T Kerry - Friends of Wollaton Local Nature Reserve
- ✓ Mrs T Marshall - Wollaton Park Residents' Association
- ✓ Mrs P Meese - Lenton Abbey Family and Friends Funday/
Lenton Abbey 15th Nottingham Scout Group
- vacancy - Wollaton Care Group
- vacancy - Wollaton Village and Park Conservation Society
- vacancy - Wollaton and Lenton Abbey Neighbourhood Watch
Association
- ✓ Mr B Smith - Lenton Abbey Residents' Association
- Dr A J Swannell - Wollaton Vale Residents' Association

✓ - indicates present at meeting

Also Present

PC 2160 Bampton
Staff and Young People Middleton Primary and Nursery School

Officers In Attendance

- Mr J Marsh - Locality Manager (Central Area))
- Ms L-A Renwick - Neighbourhood Development) Communities
- Ms P Dorey Officers)
- Melanie Fretwell Principal Enforcement Officer,)
Community Protection)
- Mr M J Parker - Constitutional Services Officer - Resources

Please note: except where otherwise indicated, all items discussed at the meeting were the subject of a report which had been circulated beforehand.

ACTION

1 APPOINTMENT OF CHAIR

RESOLVED that Councillor Morley be appointed Chair of the Area Committee for the 2012/13 Municipal Year.

2 APPOINTMENT OF VICE-CHAIR

RESOLVED that Councillor Parton be appointed Vice-Chair.

3 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor A Norris (for agenda item 5, on other City Council business) and Dr A Swannell.

4 DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS

No declarations of interests were made.

5 PARKING ISSUES AT MIDDLETON PRIMARY AND NURSERY SCHOOL

The Chair welcomed staff and young people from Middleton Primary and Nursery School to the meeting. The school representatives presented their concerns regarding safety matters which were being caused by the way in which parents chose to park to drop off or wait for children who attended the school and which caused young people to feel unsafe. Factors which affected the situation included:-

- parents not always taking notice of concerns about parking and safety explained to them by young people;
- the narrow nature of the existing road;
- vehicles parking on pavements;
- drivers ignoring parking restrictions such as double yellow or zig-zag pedestrian crossing lines to park inappropriately;
- drivers ignoring road layout arrangements to access the ring road inappropriately from the junction of Harrow Road with Middleton Boulevard;
- the intransigence of some parents/drivers when asked to modify their behaviour.

The following matters were identified either as potential contributors to a solution, or as requiring further investigation:

ACTION

- the possibility of parents dropping children at a nearby bus stop for them to walk through to the school, although to do so may result in the adjacent wood becoming congested;
- the existing road was too narrow to accommodate the quantity of vehicles now using it should active consideration be given to the creation of a turning area and/or moving school railings back to make a pull-in area for vehicles, although it was recognised that to do so would result in a loss of facilities for young people and may create more safety issues;
- Community Protection colleagues supporting the work of PC Bampton, by assessing the feasibility of enforcing current parking restrictions and using retrospective ticketing or taking court action against drivers who committed offences;
- having either a member of staff or school governor on duty at the school entrance to supervise cars parking on Harrow Road, although the Committee noted the reluctance of the school to suggest this as the individual concerned would have no formal authority;
- there was a need to identify and introduce a solution before the further expansion of the school premises;

RESOLVED

- (1) **that the young people and staff be thanked for their attendance, presentation and comments;**
- (2) **that Neighbourhood Development Officers arrange for the presentation to be given again to traffic management division colleagues and Councillor Norris to enable them to evaluate what measures the City Council might take to help the situation, in time for an update to be provided to a future meeting of the Area Committee**

L-A Renwick

6 MINUTES

RESOLVED

- (1) **that the minutes of the last meeting held on 30 January 2012 copies of which had been circulated, be confirmed and signed by the Chair;**
- (2) **that the Locality Manager (Central Area) be requested to progress the outstanding visit for Community Representatives to visit to the incinerator and re-cycling facilities referred to in Minute 26(2), dated 28 November 2011;**

**J. Marsh/
A Greener**

ACTION

7 ITEMS SUBMITTED BY COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVES

(a) Lighting on Lambourne Drive

It was agreed that Neighbourhood Development Officers should confirm the view that the specifications for lamp-posts and lights on Lambourne Drive were to British Standard.

L-A Renwick

(b) Parking at Bramcote Lane Shops

It was agreed that progress on this matter should be investigated.

L-A Renwick

(c) Play Area – Sutton Passeys Crescent and Wollaton Park

It was confirmed that Sutton Passeys Play area was not currently liable for decommissioning and that there was scope for further improvements. This would, however, require further clarification on the future the site.

J Marsh

8 WARD BUDGET ALLOCATIONS APPROVED UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY

(Director of Neighbourhood Services)

Consideration was given to a report of the Director of Neighbourhood Services, copies of which had been circulated. The report set out the detail of allocations approved by Councillors from their individual ward member budgets to support activities in Wollaton West and Wollaton East and Lenton Abbey wards during the second half of the 2011/12 financial year.

The Committee noted that five applications in Wollaton West and two in Wollaton East and Lenton Abbey had so far been approved for events to celebrate HM Queen's Jubilee and that two applications for funding under the Game On Programme 2012 had been approved.

RESOLVED that the detail of allocations approved by Councillors from their individual ward member budgets to support activities in Wollaton West and Wollaton East and Lenton Abbey wards contained in the appendix to the report, be noted.

9 WARD ACTION PLAN PRIORITIES 2012

(Director of Neighbourhood Services)

Consideration was given to a report of the Director of Neighbourhood Services, copies of which had been circulated. The report outlined a number of priorities which reflected the One Nottingham Plan and which would be used to develop Ward Action Plans.

Additional measures identified at the meeting for Wollaton West ward included the quality of street lighting in the neighbourhood and around Lambourne Drive/Caxmere Drive and parking issues on Radford Bridge Road during prayers on Fridays.

ACTION

RESOLVED that the report be noted and Community Representatives be asked to forward any additional priorities to the Neighbourhood Development Team

Community
Representatives
/L-A Renwick

10 COMMUNITY SMALL GRANT PROGRAMME ADMINISTRATION
(Director of Neighbourhoods and Communities)

This item was withdrawn for further consideration.

11 URGENT ITEM – PROPOSED DOG CONTROL ORDER, LENTON ABBEY ESTATE
(Director of Community Protection)

The Chair of the meeting was of the opinion that this item, although not included on the agenda, should be considered as a matter of urgency in accordance with Section 100B(4)(b) of the Local Government Act 1972, so that administrative processes could be undertaken before the next ordinary meeting of the Committee on 3 September 2012.

The report sought the Area Committee's view on making of Dog Control and other related Orders as a means of giving colleagues additional support and options when dealing with an increasing number of loose and aggressive dogs in the Wollaton and Lenton Abbey are of the City. Ms Melanie Fretwell, a Principal Enforcement Officer with Nottinghamshire Police presented the report and provided documentary evidence which outlined difficulties being experienced by local residents in support of her recommendation to make the necessary Orders.

RESOLVED

- (1) that, based on the evidence available to it, the Area Committee supported the making of Dog Control Orders covering the Wollaton and Lenton Abbey Ward;**
- (2) that the Director of Community Protection be authorised to carry out the advertisement and consultation processes required with regard to the making of proposed Dog Control Orders as detailed in Appendices 1 and 2 of the report;**
- (3) that the results of the consultation be submitted to a future meeting of the Area Committee to determine whether the Orders should be made and, if so, the amount of the fixed penalties to be applied to them.**

M Fretwell/
T Wilson

ACTION

12 DATES OF FUTURE MEETINGS

RESOLVED that the Area Committee meet in the Dining Room at The Council House, Old Market Square, at 5.00 pm on the following Mondays :

2012

**3 September
3 December**

2013

18 February

DATE OF NEXT MEETING

Monday, 2 September 2012, beginning at 5.00 pm

WOLLATON AND LENTON ABBEY AREA COMMITTEE**3 SEPTEMBER 2012**

Title of paper:	DOG CONTROL ORDER	
Director(s)/ Corporate Director(s):	Andrew Errington Director Community Protection	Wards affected: Wollaton East and Lenton Abbey Ward
Report author(s) and contact details:	Melanie Fretwell Principal Enforcement Officer Canning Circus Police Station Derby Road Nottingham NG7 1LS Melanie.fretwell@nottinghamshire.pnn.police.uk	
Other colleagues who have provided input:	Tamazin Wilson – Legal Services	
Relevant Council Plan Strategic Priority:		
World Class Nottingham		
Work in Nottingham		
Safer Nottingham		X
Neighbourhood Nottingham		X
Family Nottingham		X
Healthy Nottingham		X
Leading Nottingham		
Summary of issues (including benefits to citizens/service users):		

Over the past few years there have been many complaints in respect of dogs causing nuisance and annoyance to members of the public by being allowed to run loose in and around the Lenton Abbey Estate. Complaints have also been received regarding members of the public allowing dogs to roam around freely without being properly controlled by way of a lead and also in some cases, the dogs have been allowed and even encouraged to attack people and other animals causing injury to both.

It is proposed that a Dog Control Order be made requiring that dogs be kept on leads. This would benefit the community in respect of taking positive enforcement actions against those people who allow their animals to roam around without adequate supervision.

Recommendation(s):

If the Area Committee supports the proposals detailed in the report, it is recommended that it resolves as follows:

- (1) that the information detailed in the report be noted;
- (2) that, having regard to the evidence provided at its meeting on 21 May 2012 and the information available to it at this meeting, including the results of the formal consultation the Area Committee was satisfied that the introduction of Dog Control Orders for Lenton Abbey Estate was a necessary and proportionate additional measure:
 - (a) to help address the problem of dogs being allowed to roam around freely without being properly controlled by way of a lead, and
 - (b) to require the removal of dog faeces.
- (3) that, in respect of comments received during the formal consultation period:
 - (a) verbal comments that the proposed maximum length of the retractable lead of 40 inches was too short to allow dogs to roam, be noted;
 - (b) the Area Committee was satisfied that the maximum length of 40 inches for a retractable lead was sufficient to allow dogs to roam and should be confirmed;
- (4) that the Director of Legal and Democratic Services or Legal Services Manager be authorised to make Dog Control Orders for Lenton Abbey Estate containing enforcement requirements to keep dogs on a lead and remove dog faeces, as indicated in the draft Orders attached to the report.
- (5) that the Director of Community Protection be authorised to place necessary advertisements and arrange for appropriate signage to be erected in accordance with legislative requirements.
- (6) that the amount for Fixed Penalty for offences contrary to the proposed Dog Control Orders be set at £50, in line with the current amount for dog fouling offences across the City.

1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1 The Clean Neighbourhoods and Environment Act 2005 gives the local authority the power to introduce a Dog Control Order which can be applied to any land which is open to the air and which the public has access, with or without payment.
- 1.2 At it's meeting on Monday 21st May 2012, this Area Committee considered a proposal to consult on the potential introduction of two Dog Control Orders for Lenton Abbey Estate contained in appendices 1 and 2 of this report with the following control measures:
 - (a) Requirement to keep dogs on a lead;
 - (b) Requirement to remove dog faeces.
- 1.3 The penalty for committing an offence contained in a Dog Control Order is a maximum fine of level 3 on the standard scale (currently £1,000) although the opportunity to pay a fixed penalty may be offered instead.
- 1.4 The land in question has been the subject of concern for local residents because of a number of dog-related issues, mostly in respect of dogs being allowed to roam freely in the Lenton Abbey Estate without adequate control measures in place. This has included dogs being taken for walks by members of the public who have not ensured their dog(s) is/are on a lead which has resulted in the dog(s) being allowed to intimidate other animals and members of the public. It was felt that Dog Control Orders could help by giving enforcers additional powers to tackle these problems.

2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)

- 2.1 A bundle of evidence to support the proposed consultation for Dog Control Orders was made available for members of Committee to inspect prior to the Committee Meeting on 21 May 2012. This included details of a number of concerns from Residents who are wary of dogs who are allowed to roam free around the Lenton Abbey Estate.
- 2.2 Consultation over the proposal to introduce the Dog Control Orders detailed in paragraph 1.2 above on the land in question was carried out by placing an advert in the Topper Newspaper, asking shops in the relevant area to display information about the proposed Orders and displaying information on notice boards in the relevant area.

Outcomes

- 2.3 As a result of evidence collated following the Consultation:
 - (a) Members of public appear to be in support of the proposed Dog Control Orders. The Council has not received any letters or responses to the Advert in writing;
 - (b) some verbal comments were received which were in support of the proposed Order(s), but expressed concerns relating to the length of lead a dog should be kept on. No other objections have been received to the making of the proposed Dog Control Orders, verbal or otherwise.

Matters for Consideration

Length of Retractable Lead

2.4 Investigations have shown a retractable lead for a large dog would have an average length of 40 inches. The concerns raised are not being able to allow their dogs to roam on a longer retractable lead

Comment

2.5 Should the length of lead not be specified in the Order, this would allow for irresponsible dog walkers to potentially allow their dogs enough lead length to attack other animals / people and would not support the aims of the making of this Order. It should also be noted that the requirement to keep dogs on a lead of 40 inches would only apply over the land covered by the Order, and not on surrounding land such as Wollaton Country Park where there are no current restrictions. In addition, defences are available to an offence under such an Order, namely that the person has a reasonable excuse for failing to keep the dog on a lead of 40 inches or less, or the owner, occupier or other person or authority having control of the land has consented to this. Authorised officers would have discretion when enforcing any such Order, and training will be given. There are currently no enforcement powers for Community Protection Officers to require that dogs are kept on leads and this would be an additional power.

Recommendation

That the Committee determines whether a maximum length of lead of 40 inches is a proportionate solution in affording protection to the residents and allowing dog owners to allow their pets a reasonable amount of freedom whilst keeping them under control or, if not, indicates what an appropriate length would be.

Dog Fouling

2.6 If a Dog Control Order is made requiring dogs to be kept on leads in the Lenton Abbey Estate, the application of the Dogs (Fouling of Land) Act 1996 to the area covered by the Dog Control Order would be revoked. This would mean that it would no longer be an offence to fail to clean up after a dog which had defecated in that area.

Comment

2.7 The health problems associated with dog fouling are well known and dog mess can cause considerable nuisance and annoyance in a community.

Recommendation

That an additional Dog Control Order be made to re-impose this requirement for the Lenton Abbey Estate.

Fixed Penalty Notice Charges

- 2.8 The costs of the Fixed Penalty is set at a default amount of £75.00 . However each Local Authority has the autonomy to set the Fixed Penalty at any level within a specified range; in this case, such amount may be prescribed, not less than £50 and not exceeding £80.

Comment and Recommendation

- 2.9 Fixed Penalties for offences of Dog Fouling across the City are currently set at £50. It is recommended that the Fixed Penalty for offences contrary to the proposed Dog Control Orders be set at £50.

3. OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1 Use of the existing Dogs (Fouling of Land) Act 1996 which is currently an effective tool for tackling dog fouling was considered. However, this does not cover wider dog issues such as the ability to require that dogs be kept on leads.
- 3.2 It should be noted that no further reports have been received since the consultation period commenced regarding complaints of dog owners behaving in an irresponsible manner in the Lenton Abbey Estate. However, there have been no objections to the proposed Dog Control Orders other than the concerns expressed regarding the length of lead allowed referred to in paragraph 2.3 above.
- 3.3 Individual action with the RSPCA and Dog Warden assistance has had some impact however this action is a reactive action and does not tackle the wider problem of dogs being allowed to roam free and foul the land. There are currently limited resources available to the RSPCA and Dog Wardens in tackling this issue and the introduction of Dog Control Orders will provide better tools and powers to a wider Enforcement Team in dealing with these problems.
- 3.4 Civil action against individual owners including civil tools and powers to tackle these problems are available in the forms of Anti-Social Behaviour Orders, however, this is cumbersome and difficult to implement due to the legislative requirements and time frames. The use of a Dog Control Order provides additional powers and remedies which can be used alongside or as an alternative to civil action and which will be considerably quicker and more effective.

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (INCLUDING VALUE FOR MONEY)

- 4.1 Once the Dog Control Orders have been approved and the required signage erected, there will be no ongoing financial implications. The costs of enforcing the Order will be met within the existing roles of the Community Protection Officers and the Dog Wardens.
- 4.2 It was resolved at an earlier meeting that the cost of bringing the Orders into force would be shared equally between the ward Councillor budget for Wollaton and Lenton Abbey Ward Councillors and Community Protection Services.

5. RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES (INCLUDING LEGAL IMPLICATIONS, CRIME AND DISORDER ACT IMPLICATIONS AND EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS)

Legal Implications

- 5.1 Government Guidance indicates that before an authority makes a Dog Control Order it should consider whether the order is **necessary** and **proportionate**. In reaching a conclusion Committee should consider the results of the consultation (including the number of consultation responses), the existing powers which the council has, and proposals for enforcement.
- 5.2 The guidance indicates that the Committee should balance the interests of those in charge of dogs against the interests of those affected by the activities of dogs bearing in mind the need for people to have access to dog-free areas and areas where dogs are kept under strict control and the need for those in charge of dogs to have access to areas where they can exercise their dogs without due restrictions. Failure to properly consider the matters listed in paragraphs 5.1 and 5.2 could result in any subsequent Dog Control Order being vulnerable to challenge.

General

- 5.3 The enforcement agencies would use these powers fairly and proportionately. Those affected will have recourse through the courts in the normal way.

6. EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENTS (EIAs)

- 6.1 Exemptions are available to Dog Control Orders to some sections of the disabled Community.
- 6.2 The Orders would not apply where a person in control of a dog has:-
- (a) a reasonable excuse for failing to comply with an Order; or
 - (b) Is acting with the consent of the owner or occupier of the land, or any other person or authority which has control of the land, for example dogs working on the land with the consent of the land owner.

There is also an exemption from the dog fouling offence for people with disabilities in respect of trained assistance dogs.

- 6.3 Under the Council's Fair and Just Nottingham Equity Scheme, these proposed Dog Control Orders complies with the underlying principles of the scheme and promotes fair and individual enforcement based on the Orders.

7. LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS OTHER THAN PUBLISHED WORKS OR THOSE DISCLOSING CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION

- 7.1 Record of complaints

8. PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT

8.1 Crime and Disorder Act (1998)

8.2 Clean Neighbourhoods and Environment Act (2005)

8.3 Environmental Protection Act (1990)

8.4 Dogs (Fouling of Land) Act 1996

The Clean Neighbourhoods and Environment Act 2005

The Dog Control Orders (prescribed Offences and Penalties etc) Regulations 2006 (SI 2006/1059)

**The Nottingham City Council (Lenton Abbey Estate)
Fouling of Land by Dogs Order 2012**

The Nottingham City Council hereby makes the following Order:

- 1 This Order comes into force on [X]
- 2 This Order applies to the land specified in the Schedule

Offence

- 3 (1) If a dog defecates at any time on land to which this Order applies and a person who is in charge of the dog at that time fails to remove the faeces from the land forthwith, that person shall be guilty of an offence unless -
 - (a) he has a reasonable excuse for failing to do so; or
 - (b) the owner, occupier or other person or authority having control of the land has consented (generally or specifically) to his failing to do so.
- (2) Nothing in this article applies to a person who -
 - (a) is registered as a blind person in a register compiled under section 29 of the National Assistance Act 1948; or
 - (b) has a disability which affects his mobility, manual dexterity, physical co-ordination or ability to lift, carry or otherwise move everyday objects, in respect of a dog trained by a prescribed charity and upon which he relies for assistance.
- (3) For the purposes of this article -
 - (a) a person who habitually has a dog in his possession shall be taken to be in charge of the dog at any time unless at that time some other person is in charge of the dog;
 - (b) placing the faeces in a receptacle on the land which is provided for the purpose, or for the disposal of waste, shall be a sufficient removal from the land;
 - (c) being unaware of the defecation (whether by reason of not being in the vicinity or otherwise) or not having a device for or other suitable means of removing the faeces shall not be a reasonable excuse for failing to remove the faeces;

(d) each of the following is a "prescribed charity" -

- (i) Dogs for the Disabled (registered charity number 700454);
- (ii) Support Dogs (registered charity number 1088281);
- (iii) Canine Partners for Independence (registered charity number 803680).

Penalty

4 A person who is guilty of an offence under article 3 shall be liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding level 3 on the standard scale.

Date:

Given under the Common Seal of }
NOTTINGHAM CITY COUNCIL }

SCHEDULE


This Order applies to all land open to the air and to which the public are entitled or permitted to have access with or without payment which is delineated by a thick red line on the plan attached to this Order which shall include those places described in Parts 1 and 2 inclusive and in the event of a conflict between the plan attached to this Order and Parts 1 and 2 of this Schedule the plan attached to this Order shall take precedence.



Part 1

Anslow Avenue
Arden Close
Aston Avenue
Audley Drive
Austrey Avenue
Baslow Drive
Bosley Square
Charles Avenue
Enderby Square
Hathern Green
Lawley Avenue
Manton Crescent
Meriden Avenue
Olton Avenue
Varden Avenue
Wensor Avenue
Winster Close
Woodside Road from its junction with the A52 to its junction with Manton Crescent

Part 2

Lenton Abbey Park including the Tennis Courts and Bowling Green
Lenton Abbey Green
St Barnabas Church grounds



<p>Proposed Dog Control Order Map</p> <p>Areas to include:- Anslow Avenue, Arden Close, Aston Avenue, Audley Drive, Austrey Avenue, Baslow Drive, Bosley Square, Charles Avenue, Enderby Square, Hathern Green, Lawley Avenue, Manton Crescent, Meriden Avenue, Olton Avenue, Varden Avenue, Wensor Avenue, Winster Close, part of Woodside Road, Lenton Abbey Park</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Districts</p> <p>Place Names 400k</p> <p>NET_Line_One_400k</p> <p>Roads_400k</p> <p>Motorway</p> <p>Primary Road</p> <p>A Road</p> <p>Rail_Line_400k</p>	<p>0 98.9 Meters</p>  <p>© Crown Copyright and database right 2012. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100019317. Aerial Photography Copyright: Geoperspectives, 2007</p> <p>This map is a user generated static output from Nottingham Insight mapping site and is for reference only.</p> 
---	--	--

The Clean Neighbourhoods and Environment Act 2005

The Dog Control Orders (prescribed Offences and Penalties etc) Regulations 2006 (SI 2006/1059)

The Nottingham City Council Dogs on Leads (Lenton Abbey Estate) Order 2012

The Nottingham City Council hereby makes the following Order:

- 1 This Order comes into force on [X].
- 2 This Order applies to the land specified in the Schedule.

Offence

- 3 (1) A person in charge of a dog shall be guilty of an offence if, at any time, on any land to which this Order applies he does not keep the dog on a lead of not more than 40 inches in length unless -
 - (c) he has a reasonable excuse for failing to do so; or
 - (d) the owner, occupier or other person or authority having control of the land has consented (generally or specifically) to his failing to do so.
- (2) For the purposes of this article a person who habitually has a dog in his possession shall be taken to be in charge of the dog at any time unless at that time some other person is in charge of the dog.

Penalty

- 4 A person who is guilty of an offence under article 3 shall be liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding level 3 on the standard scale.

Date:

Given under the Common Seal of }
NOTTINGHAM CITY COUNCIL }

SCHEDULE


This Order applies to all land open to the air and to which the public are entitled or permitted to have access with or without payment which is delineated by a thick red line on the plan attached to this Order which shall include those places described in Parts 1 and 2 inclusive and in the event of a conflict between the plan attached to this Order and Parts 1 and 2 of this Schedule the plan attached to this Order shall take precedence.

Part 1

Anslow Avenue
Arden Close
Aston Avenue
Audley Drive
Austrey Avenue
Baslow Drive
Bosley Square
Charles Avenue
Enderby Square
Hathern Green
Lawley Avenue
Manton Crescent
Meriden Avenue
Olton Avenue
Varden Avenue
Wensor Avenue
Winster Close
Woodside Road from its junction with the A52 to its junction with Manton Crescent

Part 2

Lenton Abbey Park including the Tennis Courts and Bowling Green
Lenton Abbey Green
St Barnabas Church grounds



Proposed Dog Control Order Map

Areas to include:-
 Anslow Avenue, Arden Close, Aston Avenue, Audley Drive, Austrey Avenue, Baslow Drive, Bosley Square, Charles Avenue, Enderby Square, Hathern Green, Lawley Avenue, Manton Crescent, Meriden Avenue, Olton Avenue, Varden Avenue, Wensor Avenue, Winster Close, part of Woodside Road, Lenton Abbey Park

- Districts
- Place Names 400k
- NET_Line_One_400k
- Roads_400k
- Motonway
- Primary Road
- A Road
- Rail_Line_400k

0 98.9 Meters



© Crown Copyright and database right 2012. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100019317. Aerial Photography Copyright. Geoperspectives, 2007

This map is a user generated static output from Nottingham Insight mapping site and is for reference only.



WOLLATON AND LENTON ABBEY AREA COMMITTEE - 3RD SEPTEMBER 2012

Title of paper:	Wollaton Park Hall Playground	
Director(s)/ Corporate Director(s):	ANDREW VAUGHAN	Wards affected: WOLLATON EAST AND LENTON ABBEY AND WOLLATON WEST
Report author(s) and contact details:	Lylse-Anne Renwick, Neighbourhood Development Officer Tel: 0115 – 8764488 Email: lylse-anne.renwick@nottinghamcity.gov.uk	
Other colleagues who have provided input:	John Marsh: Central Locality Manager Tel: Email: john.marsh@nottinghamcity.gov.uk	
Relevant Council Plan Strategic Priority: (you must mark X in the relevant boxes below)		
World Class Nottingham	X	
Work in Nottingham	X	
Safer Nottingham	X	
Neighbourhood Nottingham	X	
Family Nottingham	X	
Healthy Nottingham	X	
Leading Nottingham	X	
Summary of issues (including benefits to citizens/service users):		
This report requests the support of the committee to fund the initial stage of the development of Wollaton Park Hall Playground at a cost of £35,000. Wollaton Park served a multiplicity of users, being a local facility for nearby communities, a city-wide destination park for all Nottingham residents and a visitor attraction.		
Recommendation(s):		
1	Wollaton West Committee approves the sum of £35,000 for the initial stage of the development of Wollaton Park Hall Playground. The contribution will allow Parks & Open Spaces Team to start the design process and also help to secure additional grants funds.	

1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1 Wollaton Park Hall Playground is situated in the Wollaton West Ward and is strongly supported by Wollaton citizens. There is also substantial evidence which indicates high levels of use from citizens of other wards, e.g. Sherwood, Bulwell Forest, Bestwood, Mapperley, Radford & Park to name a few.
- 1.2 With the release of the Batman movie, which was partially filmed at Wollaton Hall, there is sure to be a large influx of visitors from all over the country who would want to view the area where the film was made.

2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)

- 2.1 Wollaton Park serves a multiplicity of users, it is the local facility for nearby communities, a city-wide destination park for all Nottingham residents – and it is a visitor/tourist attraction.
- 2.2 Results from visitors' surveys indicate that although the Wollaton West ward is strongly represented in the visitor profile, there are high levels of use from other wards across the city e.g. Sherwood, Bulwell Forest, Mapperley, Radford and Park and Wollaton East and Lenton Abbey. The park also attracts visitors to Nottingham City.
- 2.3 Contributing £35,000 to the funding of this project would ensure that the playground be appropriate to the Park as a tourist and local destination.

3. OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1 Not applicable.

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (INCLUDING VALUE FOR MONEY/VAT)

- 4.1 Tim Clark, Finance Analyst, Neighbourhood Communities. Funding is available in Area Committee Revenue to meet the costs of £35,000 for the playground scheme.

5. RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES (INCLUDING LEGAL IMPLICATIONS AND CRIME AND DISORDER ACT IMPLICATIONS)

- 5.1 The risk assessment is undertaken by Parks & Open Spaces Team.

6. EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

- 6.1 Haseeb Ahmad, Head of Equality & Community Relations. It is advisable that best practice is followed regarding the design and installation of play facilities to ensure they are fully accessible to all sections of the community.

7. LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS OTHER THAN PUBLISHED WORKS OR THOSE DISCLOSING CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION

- 7.1 None.

8. PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT

- 8.1 None

WOLLATON WEST AND WOLLATON EAST AND LENTON ABBEY AREA COMMITTEE
3RD SEPTEMBER 2012

Title of paper:	Area Capital Fund – Area Approvals	
Director(s)/ Corporate Director(s):	Andy Vaughan Director of Neighbourhood Services Tel: 0115 8764713 Andy.vaughan@nottinghamcity.gov.uk	Wards affected: Wollaton West ward Wollaton East and Lenton Abbey ward
Report author(s) and contact details:	Pauline Dorey – Neighbourhood Development Officer – Wollaton East & Lenton Abby Ward Tel: 07960420474 pauline.dorey@nottinghamcity.gov.uk	
Other colleagues who have provided input:	Prairie Bryant Project Officer, Highway Services 0115 8761350 Prairie.bryant@nottinghamcity.gov.uk Lylse-Anne Renwick – Neighbourhood Development Officer, Wollaton West Ward Tel: 0115 - 8838476 or 0115 - 8764488 lylse-anne.renwick@nottinghamcity.gov.uk	
Relevant Council Plan Strategic Priority:		
World Class Nottingham		
Working Nottingham		
Safer Nottingham		X
Neighbourhood Nottingham		X
Family Nottingham		
Healthy Nottingham		
Leading Nottingham		
Summary of issues (including benefits to citizens/service users):		
This report provides detail of the latest spend proposals under the Area Capital Fund including highways and footways.		
Recommendation(s):		
1	That the Area Capital Fund programme of schemes for Wollaton West ward and Wollaton East and Lenton Abbey ward, as set out in Appendix 1 be approved.	

1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1 The Area Capital Programme was established to improve the environment of the neighbourhoods and to create a sense of place for residents in order to improve the quality of life of local people. Since it was established in 2006 to meet the then corporate priority of "Transforming Neighbourhoods", the Area Capital Fund has included a total programme expenditure portfolio of £40 million. The improvements that have been carried out to date using this programme have included footpath, fences, visual enhancements to public realm, refurbishment of parks and improvements to public buildings.
- 1.2 Resources are allocated from the Nottingham City Council general fund, the Local Transport Plan (LTP) and from the Housing Revenue Account. To achieve a joint approach to environmental improvements in neighbourhoods, a greater degree of

flexibility has been established to prioritise and deliver improvements so that there is a synergy in local solutions for local issues across neighbourhoods.

- 1.3 The programme of works is a rolling programme. There have been instances where schemes are started, with strong community involvement and interest, only to be altered at a later stage due to changing circumstances, such as economic conditions and changes in land values.

2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)

- 2.1 The Nottingham LTP 2011-2026 maintains a commitment to deliver local transport improvements across Nottingham's Neighbourhoods and prioritises small scale transport improvements of importance to local communities
- 2.2 As part of the budget approved in March 2011, Nottingham City Council approved an LTP capital allocation of £2.5 million Citywide between 2011 -2013.

3. OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1 None.

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (INCLUDING VALUE FOR MONEY)

- 4.1 Bringing together the various strands which form part of the Area Capital Programme enables the City Council to respond efficiently in delivering on public realm improvements as identified by local people

5. RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES (INCLUDING LEGAL IMPLICATIONS, CRIME AND DISORDER ACT IMPLICATIONS AND EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS)

- 5.1 A risk register has been produced which is regularly monitored

6. EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENTS (EIAs)

- 6.1 An Equality Impact Assessment has not been carried out as this report does not include proposals for new or changing policies.

7. LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS OTHER THAN PUBLISHED WORKS OR THOSE DISCLOSING CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION

- 7.1 Highways Framework Agreement.

8. PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT

None.

Wollaton West Area Capital 2011 - 2013 LTP schemes

Location	Type	Councillor Prioritised / Area Committee Approved	Estimate	Estimated start date	Completed	Details
Wollaton Library	Signage	Prioritised March 2012	£1,400			Fingerpost direction signs
Bridge Road - Torville Drive Wroxham Drive./	Access Improvements	Prioritised March 2012	£2,000			Dropped kerbs and removal of bollards
Larchdene Avenue	Consultation	Approved January 2012	£500	N/A	Yes	Consultation on potential gating order
Wollaton Road Shops forecourt / Ringwood Crescent	TRO	Approved November 2011	£7,000	TBC	No	Parking prohibition and 1pm - 2pm parking restrictions

Total LTP schemes

£10,900

Wollaton West Area Capital Decommittments

Location	Type	Reason	Amount	Details
Wollaton Road Shops forecourt / Ringwood Crescent	TRO	Funding not required	£2,000	Scale of scheme reduced.
Wollaton Road / Old Coach Road	Signing	Not progressed	£500	Cycle Route signing, scheme not carried out

Total Decommittment

£2,500

Total 2011-2013 LTP allocation
Balance carried over from 2010-2011

£67,544

-£750

Total Available 2011 - 2013 ACF

£66,794

Decommittment funds

£2,500

Less LTP schemes

£10,900

Remaining available balance

£58,394

Wollaton East and Lenton Abbey 2011 - 2013 LTP schemes

Location	Type	Councillor Prioritised / Area Committee Approved	Estimated start date	Completed	Details
Wollaton East & Lenton Abbey	Lining	Prioritised 11th July 2012	£3,000		Refresh white lining across the ward.
Dorket Drive / Charnook Avenue	Footpath	Prioritised 11th July 2012	£20,000		Footpath patching - locations to be determined
Shelia Roper Community Centre	Directional Signage	Prioritised 11th July 2012	£152		Signage on Baslow Drive and Anslow Avenue directing to Shelia Roper Community Centre
Woodside Road	Tree Planting	Prioritised 11th July 2012	£1,270		
Lawley Avenue	Footpath	Approved January 2012	£8,000	Yes	Footpath Reconstruction
Charles Avenue - Lawley Avenue side only	Footpath	Approved November 2011	£30,000	Yes	Footpath Reconstruction
Dorket Drive area	Dropped crossings	Approved November 2011	£6,000		5 pairs of pedestrian crossings in the Dorket Drive area

Total LTP schemes

£68,422

Wollaton East and Lenton Abbey Area Capital Decommittments

Location	Type	Reason	Amount	Details
Charles Avenue - Lawley Avenue side only	Footpath	Underspend	£5,916	Underspend from footpath work - Invoice included Lawley Avenue
Lawley Avenue	Footpath	Underspend	£8,000	Invoiced as part of Charles Avenue works

Total Decommittment

£13,916

Total 2011-2013 LTP allocation
Balance carried over from 2010-2011

£67,544

£0

Total Available 2011 - 2013 ACF**£67,544**

Decommittment funds

£13,916

Less LTP schemes

£68,422

Remaining available balance**£13,038**

LTP Element remaining

£13,038

Public Realm Element remaining

£0

WOLLATON WEST AND WOLLATON EAST & LENTON ABBEY AREA COMMITTEE
3RD SEPTEMBER 2012

Title of paper:	ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY – WARD ALLOCATIONS	
Director(s)/ Corporate Director(s):	Andy Vaughn – Director of Neighbourhood Services	Wards affected: Wollaton West & Wollaton East & Lenton Abby
Contact Officer(s) and contact details:	Lylse-Anne Renwick – Neighbourhood Development Officer, Wollaton West Ward Tel: 0115 - 8838476 or 0115 - 8764488 lylse-anne.renwick@nottinghamcity.gov.uk	
Other officers who have provided input:	Pauline Dorey – Neighbourhood Development Officer – Wollaton East & Lenton Abby Ward Tel: 0115 - 07960420474 pauline.dorey@nottinghamcity.gov.uk	
Relevant Council Plan Strategic Priority:		
World Class Nottingham		
Working Nottingham		
Safer Nottingham		
Neighbourhood Nottingham		X
Family Nottingham		X
Healthy Nottingham		
Serving Nottingham Better		X
Summary of issues (including benefits to customers/service users):		
This report asks the committee to note decisions made under delegated authority.		
Recommendation(s):		
1	It is recommended that the actions taken under delegated authority detailed in the appendix be noted.	

1 BACKGROUND

- 1.1 This report asks the committee to note decisions made under delegated authority in regard to councillors ward allocations.
- 1.1 This action follows the arrangements established by the Executive Board in respect of individual member allocation budget spending.

2 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)

- 2.1 Decisions in relation to councillors ward allocations are made under delegated authority by the Director of Neighbourhood Services. These decisions must then be reported to Area Committee for information.

3 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 Information report.

4 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (INCLUDING VALUE FOR MONEY)

4.1 There are no financial implications arising from this report.

5 RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES (INCLUDING LEGAL IMPLICATIONS, CRIME AND DISORDER ACT IMPLICATIONS AND EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS)

5.1 The funds allocated to this Committee are used to address the diverse needs of the various sections of the community and reduce inequalities within the community.

6 LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS OTHER THAN PUBLISHED WORKS OR THOSE DISCLOSING CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION

6.1 Ward Allocation approval forms (pre publication on the website as detailed in 7.2 below)

7 PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT

7.1 None

7.2 Ward Member Decisions as listed below can be found in full, on in alternative formats, at <http://open.nottinghamcity.gov.uk/comm/viewwm.asp> or from the Nottingham City Council Committee Administration Team, Resources, Nottingham City Council, Loxley House, Station Street, Nottingham, NG2 3NG. Telephone: 0115 8764305.

**Appendix A-
Wollaton West - 2012/13**

Item	Recipient	Date Agreed	Reported to Area Cttee	Cllr Culley	Cllr Morley	Cllr Parton	Total
Nottingham Carnival Troup Development	Nottingham Carnival Trust	15/06/2012	3 rd September 2012	£66.66	£66.66	£66.68	£200
75 th Nottingham Scout Group	75 th Nottingham Scout Group	30/07/2012	3 rd September 2012	£83.33	£83.33	£83.34	£250
Game On Programme 2012	Various	24/05/2012	3 rd September 2012	£264.26	£264.26	£264.28	£792.80

Wollaton East And Lenton Abby – 2012/2013

Item	Recipient	Date Agreed	Reported to Area Cttee	Councillor Longford	Councillor Fox	Total
'Game On' Programme 2012 Activities at Lenton Abbey Fun Day	Lenton Abbey Friends and Fun Day Association (LAFFDA)	06/03/2012	3 rd September 2012	Up to £210	Up to £210	Up to £420
Lenton Abbey Jubilee Celebration	Sheila Roper Centre/ Community Association	31/05/2012	3 rd September 2012	£75	£75	£150
Wollaton Park Residents Association Jubilee Celebration	Wollaton Park Residents Association	31/05/2012	3 rd September 2012	£100	£100	£200
Planting in Planter on Farndon Green, Wollaton Park Estate, Wollaton East	Nottingham In Bloom	Awaiting information	3 rd September 2012	£127.35	£127.35	£ 254.70
Jubilee Celebration for 28 Links Youth Project with recipients from WELA (Leen Valley Cllrs funded £500 contribution)	Radford Surestart	06/06/2012	3 rd September 2012	-	£250	£250

ALL AREA COMMITTEES September 2012

Title of paper:	WARD PERFORMANCE REPORTS - Q1/Q2/Q3/Q4	
Director(s)/ Corporate Director(s):	Andy Vaughan Director of Neighbourhood Services	Wards affected: ALL
Contact Officer(s) and contact details:	Dorothy Holmes Locality Manager 0115 9150378 dorothy.holmes@nottinghamcity.gov.uk John Marsh Locality Manager John.martsh@nottinghamcity.gov.uk Heidi May Locality Manager Heidi.may@nottinghamcity.gov.uk	
Other officers who have provided input:	Christine Oliver Senior implementation Manager Crime and Drugs Partnership Christine.oliver@nottinghamcity.gov.uk	
Relevant Council Plan theme(s):		
World Class Nottingham		X
Work in Nottingham		X
Safer Nottingham		X
Neighbourhood Nottingham		X
Family Nottingham		X
Healthy Nottingham		X
Leading Nottingham		
Summary of issues (including benefits to customers/service users):		
<p>The attached report IS an initial generic example of what a Performance Report for each Ward within the city is due to look like. Reports will be produced quarterly for each Area Committee. These performance reports provide updates on key issues and themes from Nottingham Plan 2020:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ward priorities • Community engagement • Finance • Safer theme - Crime and anti social behaviour (ASB) • Neighbourhood theme - Environmental issues and Housing • Working theme - Unemployment rates • Health Theme – local health priorities 		
Recommendation(s):		
1	<p>Area Committee note and comment on the initial Area Committee Ward Performance Report outlined in the appendix.</p> <p>a) Note the full Ward Performance report is currently under development with key partners.</p> <p>b) The report contains a generic example of data to be included in future reports - not the accurate data for each ward at present.</p>	

1 BACKGROUND

- 1.1 Neighbourhood Working has been important for a number of years in Nottingham as a means of engaging better with citizens and to drive forward service improvement. On 31 January 2012 the Executive Board, taking into consideration the 'localism' agenda driven by Central Government and the impact on communities of the deepening recession with associated public spending cuts, agreed a new framework for neighbourhood working . The ward performance report is part of this framework.
- 1.2 The Nottingham Plan has a goal that 'public service delivery will be better integrated and appropriately devolved, ensuring more accessible and responsive services for all and giving residents more control over what happens in their neighbourhoods; the Ward Performance report will help to support this.
- 1.3 The Ward Performance Report captures work at a local level to support the Nottingham Plan; it is a short summary of key updates on priorities and issues in the ward. More detailed implementation plans sit behind the report such as the Ward Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Team's Action Log, Crime Drug Partnership (CDP) plans and other partner's implementation plans.
- 1.4 The Ward Performance Reports will be co-ordinated by Neighbourhood Development Officers every quarter, with input from relevant officers and partners on their respective themes.

2 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)

- 2.1 Ward performance reports will provide a clear picture of what is happening at a ward level and invite community representatives to comment, debate, and challenge and identify how they can add value to improve their neighbourhoods.
- 2.2 Ward performance reports will also monitor progress in the wards and act as a catalyst for debate about the key performance issues impacting upon the ward on a quarterly basis.

3 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1 None

4 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (INCLUDING VALUE FOR MONEY)

- 4.1 None

5 RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES (INCLUDING LEGAL IMPLICATIONS, CRIME AND DISORDER ACT IMPLICATIONS AND EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS)

- 5.1 None

6 EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Has the equality impact been assessed?

Not needed (report does not contain proposals or financial decisions)

No

Yes— Equality impact Assessment attached

7 LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS OTHER THAN PUBLISHED WORKS OR THOSE DISCLOSING CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION

7.1 Neighbourhood Working Framework 2012 CLT report

8 PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT

8.1 None


APPENDIX 1

'Dummy Report' City Wide Example for Feedback

Your Ward

Area Committee Executive Summary

June 2012



Ward Priorities

THEME	PRIORITY	KEY ACTIONS
SAFER	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To reduce antisocial behaviour – including drinking, drug taking and dealing particularly on parks and open spaces More Neighbourhood Watch Schemes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A Week of Action - Domestic Violence, alcohol and the environment plus other partner activities. Neighbourhood Alert High patrols are being undertaken for alcohol confiscation
NEIGHBOURHOODS	<p>To improve the environment - reduction of dog fouling, Improving local parks. Improve appearance of estate</p> <p>Resolve derelict empty properties and buildings.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dog fouling operation on park NCH and CPO's are working together to identify bins and untidy gardens. EPIC coordinate a pupils, Rangers Programme from Primary take part in regular inspections of the area. Regular Councillor Ward Walks
FAMILIES	More volunteers and activities for young people at local venues	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Family Community Teams/Epic and partners have an activity Timetable for April to August 2012. To promote activities and events in the area. The Renewal Trust continue to recruit and support local volunteers to work in the community
HEALTH	Raising awareness of health and activities, with a key focus on Domestic Violence	<p>Health Promotion Team - health groups and activities for Heart Health, Cancer and Lifestyle risks alcohol, smoking healthy eating , physical activity, mental health).</p> <p>60 Domestic violence information packs, posters and officers contact details have been distributed to community and other public buildings across the ward.</p>
WORKING	<p>More Jobs, Training and volunteering opportunities</p> <p>More business investment</p>	<p>The Renewal Trust deliver;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Childcare Voucher Scheme: Residents grant for tools, licenses and clothing to help people into work and training. Job Shop –Work Club every Tuesday at the Joint Service Centre Enterprise Coaching Service: Provides advice to local start up businesses and other small businesses in the area. Another aspect is to help support and develop community organizations and community businesses in the area. Renewal Trust Business Centre: Three business centre's provide 46 office units for rent to local business.

Community Engagement

Ward Walks

Area/Estate	Date/Time	Meeting Point
Named Road	4 th August 5pm to 6.30pm	Bottom of Named Road
Named Road	8 th August 5pm to 6.30pm	Community Centre
Area	12 th September 5pm to 6.30pm	Bottom of Named Road

Community Meetings

Group	Date	Venue
Community group	4 th August 1pm	Community centre
Local issues meeting	18 th August 6.30 to 8pm	United Reform Church
Local issues meeting	11 th August 7pm to 8.30pm	Named Centre
Friend of Area play area	18 th August 6pm to 7pm	Academy, Named Road
Local issues meeting	25 th August 6.30pm to 8.30pm	Library
Area Tenants and Residents	24 th August	Church

Community Engagement

Events Delivered

Event	Lead Partners	Date/Time	Venue
Area Festival Week – a range of activities with final parade and festival event	Area Festival Committee Renewal Trust Councillors	W/C 27th August Final festival event 7 th September 11am to 12 noon	Various venues with final event of Park
Gala	Friends of Area	18 th August	Park
Area fun day and play scheme	Tenants Outreach Programme	15 th August and weekly activities	Park

Future Events and Activities Planned

Event	Lead Partners	Date/Time	Venue
Local Democracy Week – Councillors stall/surgery	Councillors Locality Management	17 th October 9-11am	Named Road
Week of Action – A series of events/actions around Environmental issues	Locality Management Police, Community Protection, NCH, TRA	Week commencing 15 th October	Key hotspots in Area
Young peoples activities	Locality Management Renewal Trust	September	Community Centre

Finance

Ward Councillor Budgets

Total Amount allocated this period £4,500

Budget Remaining Unallocated £26,000 (inc of 2012-13 allocation)

Area Capital Fund


Total Amount allocated this period £69,600

Budget Remaining Unallocated £54,400 (inc of 2012-13 allocation)

Others – Section 106, NCH Environmentals, Other Funding

None this period

Crime



RED	31 less crimes
AMBER	crimes
GREEN*	-25.4%



*Refers to June 2012 compared to June 2011

- **All Crime** in Your Ward is showing a decrease over the previous year's month.
- For the year ending 2011/12 All Crime in Your Ward was showing a decrease, and the by looking at the above Graph this shows that All Crime is down -30.4% against the 2010/11 Baseline.
- The Baseline graph highlights a decrease by a sizeable amount and that the current month's performance was not an anomaly
- For the current year; all offences are showing reductions with the exception of 'Theft'. However, this is only up **one** offence

You Ward Contact: Inspector Name

Core ASB

% Change over 2010/11 Baseline (Rolling 12 Month Total)




*June 2012 compared to June 2011


- **Core ASB** is showing a reduction compared to the previous year's month
- Your Ward ended the year 2011/12 recording an increase on the previous year by +7%. However since this high point (as seen via the Baseline graph) there has been a decrease, with June ending on +3% over a 2010/11 baseline.
- In June, of the three ASB types: 10% of ASB calls were tagged as ASB Environmental, ASB Personal 11% and ASB Nuisance 78%.
- This hierarchy of calls is in keeping with the City's numbers, although the proportion of ASB Nuisance and Environmental calls are *slightly* higher than what the City's percentage was, with ASB Personal lower.

Your Ward ASB Contact: Inspector Name


Graffiti Reports



Fly Tipping



Cleanliness Index



- All data refers to a comparison with the previous year's month
- It is proposed that performance over time graphs be used for each indicator
- Historic data for comparison is not available for dog fouling

Unemployment Rate

Area	Jun-12		Change in last month		Change in last year		
	Number	Rate	City Rank	Number	%	Number	%
Arboretum	850	7.2	8	-1	-0.1	160	23.2
Aspley	1,209	10.7	1	-13	-1.1	86	7.7
Basford	721	6.5	11	-25	-3.4	16	2.3
Berridge	1,093	7.5	6	0	0	203	22.8
Bestwood	1,011	8.9	4	-25	-2.4	45	4.7
Bilborough	801	7.7	5	-3	-0.4	72	9.9
Bridge	747	7.1	9	-23	-3	39	5.5
Bulwell	1,071	9.8	2	11	1	59	5.8
Bulwell Forest	464	5.1	14	-2	-0.4	22	5
Clifton North	389	4.4	16	-20	-4.9	35	9.9
Clifton South	502	5.7	12	-24	-4.6	18	3.7
Dales	875	7.5	6	-24	-2.7	63	7.8
Dunkirk and Lenton	279	2.6	18	8	3	12	4.5
Leen Valley	348	5	15	-1	-0.3	35	11.2
Mapperley	730	6.6	10	-35	-4.6	46	6.7
Radford and Park	791	4.2	17	-2	-0.3	95	13.6
Sherwood	580	5.4	13	-33	-5.4	13	2.3
St Ann's	1,243	9	3	-21	-1.7	113	10
Wollaton East and Lenton Abbey	143	1.4	20	-3	-2.1	3	2.1
Wollaton West	241	2.6	18	-3	-1.2	28	13.1

Population data, including as a proportion of the city can be added

The table above shows the position of Your Ward regarding its unemployment rate including the numbers unemployed for the last Month and its progress over time.

This is an edited version of a report produced by: Geoff Oxendale, Information and Research Officer, Nottingham City Council

Housing

Empty properties

	Current Target	2010-11	2011-2012	2012-13	Long Term Trend
Lettable empty properties Your Ward		16	16	32	↑
Empty properties awaiting decommission Your Ward		10	7	7	→
Average time taken to re-let empty properties for Your Ward	26	22.71	49.14	34.51	↑

Estate star ratings

PI Name	Q4 2011/12	Q1 2012/13	Status	PI Status
Estate star rating - Area 1 in Your Ward	3 star	3 star	↻	On target
Estate star rating - Area 2 in Your Ward	3 star	3 star	↻	On target
Estate star rating - Area 3 in Your Ward	3 star	2 star	↻	Below
Estate star rating - Area 4 in Your Ward	3 star	3 star	↻	Data Only
Estate star rating - Area 5 in Your Ward	3 star	3 star	↻	Improving
Estate star rating - Area 6 in Your Ward	3 star	3 star	↻	No Change

Off target
 On target
 Below
 Data Only


Improving
 No Change
 Getting Worse

Short Term Trends:
 Improving
 No Change
 Getting Worse

Rent collection

	Current Target	2010-11	2011-2012	2012-13	Long Term Trend
Rent collection - Your Area Office	100%	100.29%	101.92%	100.15%	↻

Map of Tennant and Resident Associations by Ward



ASB data, Graffiti and Caretaking Data is not currently available by Ward and this is something that is being looked at.

Community Protection

In Your Ward in the last month there were the following court outcomes

- 7 Injunctions – for ASB
- 1 Injunction – for Domestic Abuse
- 1 Possession Order – for ASB
- 1 Breach – of ASB Injunction
- 1 Outright Possession Order – for ASB

Regarding the above, this relates to the home address of the Perpetrator.

FPNs are not currently available at Ward level.

Health

Contact established with Health and meeting set to agree data

School Exclusions

It is anticipated that the number of School Exclusions will be included in this document

Methodology

This document contains a combination of data sources including actual data that has been redacted and 'false data'. The purpose of this document is to provide an idea of what a Ward Report *could* look like.

Regarding the Ward Reports and the use of Crime and ASB it would be proposed that this data be 'mapped' to the Ward boundaries and extracted to provide figures. This is so the City Centre crimes/ASB incidents that fall within the City do not impact on the figures of the Wards that include some of the City Centre.


The Crime, ASB and Cleanliness Index scores have been given a RAG rating based on their comparison to the previous year's performance.

'Dummy Report' City Wide Example for Feedback

Your Ward


NAT Report

June 2012



Crime

% Change over 2010/11 Baseline (Rolling 12 Month Totals)



RED

AMBER

GREEN*



31 less crimes

-25.4%

*Refers to June 2012 compared to June 2011

- **All Crime in Your Ward is showing a decrease of -25% (-31 crimes) compared to June 2011, which when compared to the overall City reduction for June of -14% shows that Your Ward is, at present, over-performing as a Ward and assisting with the City's reductions.**
 - **The Baseline graph shows that All Crime is also showing a substantial decrease (-30%) against the more long-term 2010/11 Baseline**
 - **Analysis carried out at Locality level also shows that for June 2012, and Year to Date, Your Ward is second for its All Crime reductions (Table 2)**
 - **With the exception of the category 'Other' only 'Theft' is showing increases – which it is for both the Month and Year to Date. These are, however, small increases. (Table 1)**
 - **The highest volume offences in the Ward for June 2012 are Violence and Criminal Damage (22 offences). Both of these are showing monthly and yearly reductions between -7% and -15%**
- In terms of Your Ward's crime Rate (per 1000 of population), for All Crime it sits 7th out of the 20 Wards, albeit slightly over the average. (Table 4)
- The Crime types that affect Your Ward the most are Criminal Damage and Violence which are both the second highest in the City. (Table 4)
- **Please see the Appendix for the Tables referenced**

Core ASB




*June 2012 compared to June 2011

- For the Month of June 2012 (compared to June 2011), Your Ward's ASB reduction of -10% is obviously going in the right direction but is almost half the City's combined reduction (-19.1%)
- For the Year to Date Your Ward is currently showing a reduction of -15%, which is closer to the City total of -17.5%
- For both its Monthly and Year to Date figure, Your Ward ranks fifth out of the six Wards in the Locality for performance. (Table 3)
- In regards to the long-term performance (rolling 12 month total), Your Ward is actually showing an increase over a 2010/11 Baseline: +3%. However, its performance is improving since its high point of March 2012
- On the whole, Your Ward's Core ASB performance is not doing as well as All Crime.
- In June, of the three ASB types: 10% of ASB calls in the Ward were tagged as ASB Environmental, ASB Personal 11% and ASB Nuisance 78%. The largest % difference from the City Total is ASB Personal; this accounts of 16% of calls in the City total.
- Repeat Victimisation data shows an individual within Your Ward has experienced 13 ASB incidents in a 12 month period – ranking them as the 7th most victimised within the City.


Cleanliness Index

This graph shows the position of Your Ward compared to other Wards




The target on cleanliness is to achieve an 80% City wide target with no single area achieving less than 75%
 To calculate the Cleanliness Index (CI) an agreed number of transects are surveyed across an area covering a range of land types. Each site surveyed is awarded a cleanliness grade based on a 7 point scale from A to D where Grade A: - no litter, • and Grade D: - heavily littered. The maximum possible score of 100 is achieved when all sites achieve Grade A.
 The Code of Practice for Litter and Refuse (COPLAR) requires councils to strive to reach an 'acceptable' standard of cleanliness across the Council area. Grade A is the ultimate goal and is how a site should be immediately after sweeping but is almost impossible to maintain for any length of time. For example, a grade A site is completely free of litter - one cigarette butt takes it down to B-.
 To achieve the manifesto commitment of Neighbourhoods as clean as the City Centre a target of 86 is required as that was the CI for the City Centre in May 2011. To give some context if all areas surveyed achieved a grade 'B' standard, this would equate to a cleanliness index of 67.


Graffiti Reports



Dog Fouling



Fly Tipping



Comments regarding Your Ward

This is an edited version of a report produced by:
 Lee-Anne Bone, Team Leader Service Improvement and Projects Team
 Nottingham City Council

Unemployment Rate

Area	Jun-12		Change in last month		Change in last year		
	Number	Rate	City Rank	Number	%	Number	%
Arboretum	850	7.2	8	-1	-0.1	160	23.2
Aspley	1,209	10.7	1	-13	-1.1	86	7.7
Basford	721	6.5	11	-25	-3.4	16	2.3
Berridge	1,093	7.5	6	0	0	203	22.8
Bestwood	1,011	8.9	4	-25	-2.4	45	4.7
Bilborough	801	7.7	5	-3	-0.4	72	9.9
Bridge	747	7.1	9	-23	-3	39	5.5
Bulwell	1,071	9.8	2	11	1	59	5.8
Bulwell Forest	464	5.1	14	-2	-0.4	22	5
Clifton North	389	4.4	16	-20	-4.9	35	9.9
Clifton South	502	5.7	12	-24	-4.6	18	3.7
Dales	875	7.5	6	-24	-2.7	63	7.8
Dunkirk and Lenton	279	2.6	18	8	3	12	4.5
Leen Valley	348	5	15	-1	-0.3	35	11.2
Mapperley	730	6.6	10	-35	-4.6	46	6.7
Radford and Park	791	4.2	17	-2	-0.3	95	13.6
Sherwood	580	5.4	13	-33	-5.4	13	2.3
St Ann's	1,243	9	3	-21	-1.7	113	10
Wollaton East and Lenton Abbey	143	1.4	20	-3	-2.1	3	2.1
Wollaton West	241	2.6	18	-3	-1.2	28	13.1

Population data, including as a proportion of the city can be added

The table above shows the position of Your Ward regarding its unemployment rate including the numbers unemployed for the last Month and its progress over time.

This is an edited version of a report produced by: Geoff Oxendale, Information and Research Officer, Nottingham City Council

Housing

Empty properties

	Current Target	2010-11	2011-2012	2012-13 Year to	Status	Long Term Trend
Lettable empty properties Your Ward		16	16	32		
Empty properties awaiting decommission Your Ward		10	7	7		
Average time taken to re-let empty properties for Your Ward	26	22.71	49.14	34.51		


Estate star ratings

PI Name	Q4 2011/12 Value	Status	Q1 2012/13 Value	Status
Estate star rating - Area 1 in Your Ward	3 star		3 star	
Estate star rating - Area 2 in Your Ward	3 star		3 star	
Estate star rating - Area 3 in Your Ward	3 star		2 star	
Estate star rating - Area 4 in Your Ward	3 star		3 star	
Estate star rating - Area 5 in Your Ward	3 star		3 star	
Estate star rating - Area 6 in Your Ward	3 star		3 star	

Rent collection

	Current Target	2010-11	2011-2012	2012-13 Year to	Status	Long Term Trend
Rent collection - Your Area Office	100%	100.29%	101.92%	100.15%		

Map of Tennant and Resident Associations by Ward



ASB data, Graffiti and Caretaking Data is not currently available by Ward and this is something that is being looked at.

Health

Contact established with Health and meeting set to agree data

Community Protection

In Your Ward in the last month there were the following court outcomes

- 7 Injunctions – for ASB
- 1 Injunction – for Domestic Abuse
- 1 Possession Order – for ASB
- 1 Breach – of ASB Injunction
- 1 Outright Possession Order – for ASB

Regarding the above, this relates to the home address of the Perpetrator.

FPNs are not currently available at Ward level.

School Exclusions

It is anticipated that the number of School Exclusions will be included in this document

Crime and ASB Appendix

Table 1: Crime Types in Your Ward by Month and Year to Date

Type	Jun-11	Jun-12	Change	% Change	Prev YTD	YTD	Change	% Change
Autocrime	12	4	-8	-66.67%	30	20	-10	-33.33%
Burglary	5	5	0	0.00%	28	13	-15	-53.57%
Burglary Other	4	1	-3	-75.00%	16	7	-9	-56.25%
Damage	24	22	-2	-8.33%	68	60	-8	-11.76%
Drugs	12	6	-6	-50.00%	31	19	-12	-38.71%
Fraud	7	4	-3	-42.86%	23	6	-17	-73.91%
Other	4	5	1	25.00%	18	14	-4	-22.22%
Personal Robbery	2	2	0	0.00%	16	7	-9	-56.25%
Robbery Business	0	0	0	0.00%	1	1	0	0.00%
Sexual	4	2	-2	-50.00%	9	8	-1	-11.11%
Theft	13	16	3	23.08%	36	37	1	2.78%
Theft from person	4	2	-2	-50.00%	15	5	-10	-66.67%
Threats	5	0	-5	-100.00%	14	4	-10	-71.43%
Violence	26	22	-4	-15.38%	74	69	-5	-6.76%
Total	122	91	-31	-25.41%	379	270	-109	-28.76%

Table 2: Locality All Crime figures by Month and Year to Date

Crime Figures by Ward	Monthly			Year to Date				
	Jun-11	Jun-12	% Change	Prev YTD	YTD	% Change		
WARD 1	121	86	-35	-28.93%	355	235	-120	-33.80%
WARD 2	66	61	-5	-7.58%	202	188	-14	-6.93%
WARD 3	82	76	-6	-7.32%	268	241	-27	-10.07%
WARD 4	116	89	-27	-23.28%	351	267	-84	-23.93%
WARD 5	116	125	9	7.76%	351	304	-47	-13.39%
YOUR WARD	122	91	-31	-25.41%	379	270	-109	-28.76%
TOTAL	623	528	-95	-15.25%	1906	1505	-401	-21.04%

Table 3: Locality ASB figures by Month and Year to Date

ASB by Ward	Monthly			Year to Date				
	Jun-11	Jun-12	% Change	Prev YTD	YTD	% Change		
WARD 1	51	50	-1	-1.96%	176	168	-8	-4.55%
WARD 2	50	32	-18	-36.00%	181	119	-62	-34.25%
WARD 3	86	46	-40	-46.51%	252	160	-92	-36.51%
WARD 4	137	72	-65	-47.45%	359	236	-123	-34.26%
WARD 5	81	54	-27	-33.33%	217	177	-40	-18.43%
YOUR WARD	138	124	-14	-10.14%	373	318	-55	-14.75%
TOTAL	543	378	-165	-30.39%	1558	1178	-380	-24.39%

Table 4: Crime Types by Rate (per 1000 of population) by Ward in the City Division – Figures are Year to Date 2012.

Area	Autocrime	Burglary	Criminal Damage	Personal Robbery	Theft	Theft from Person	Violence	All Crime
ARBORETUM	2.32	2.32	3.70		3.70		4.13	25.40
ASPLEY	1.66	1.89	4.36	0.40	3.10	0.29	6.57	23.98
BASFORD	1.86	0.93	3.16	0.81	3.47	0.19	3.97	18.59
BERRIDGE	4.31	1.22	2.99	0.30	3.40	0.30	2.99	19.72
BESTWOOD	0.59	1.29	3.16	0.18	1.52	0.18	3.86	14.17
BILBOROUGH	1.73		3.53	0.24	3.05	0.24	4.60	20.98
BRIDGE	2.26	0.69	3.34	0.00	8.35	0.29	3.04	23.08
BULWELL	1.94	1.45		0.48		0.54	4.66	30.88
BULWELL FOREST	1.09	1.02	3.57	0.80	5.38	0.07	1.96	16.73
CLIFTON NORTH	1.38	1.61	2.60	0.46	1.99	0.15	2.60	14.39
CLIFTON SOUTH	1.72	1.29	2.87	0.14	2.87	0.36	2.87	17.31
DALES	0.93	0.68	3.16	0.25	3.35	0.19	3.72	16.56
DUNKIRK & LENTON	4.31	1.49	1.58	0.08	8.54	0.25	3.32	23.46
LEEN VALLEY	0.92	1.01	2.30	0.55	3.03	0.55	3.03	14.62
MAPPERLEY	2.99	0.66	1.99	0.33	3.25	0.27	3.98	20.17
RADFORD & PARK		1.53	2.27	0.30	4.39	0.35	2.22	17.85
SHERWOOD	1.92	1.19	2.39	0.20	3.65	0.27	2.52	15.73
ST ANNS	1.55	1.01	4.64	0.54	2.86	0.39	5.34	20.89
WOLLATON EAST & LENTON ABBEY	0.52	1.04	1.21	0.00	4.68	0.17	0.69	10.50
WOLLATON WEST	1.78	1.16	0.41	0.14	1.23	0.07	0.96	7.86
CITY CENTRE	8.68	0.84	9.27	1.50	71.89	27.72	28.64	180.03
CITY AVERAGE	2.35	1.31	3.31	0.41	6.58	1.36	4.39	25.01

Highest Rate
 Second Highest Rate
 Third Highest Rate

Methodology

This document contains a combination of data sources including actual data that has been redacted and 'false data'. The purpose of this document is to provide an idea of what a Ward Report *could* look like.

Regarding the Ward Reports and the use of Crime and ASB it would be proposed that this data be 'mapped' to the Ward boundaries and extracted to provide figures. This is so the City Centre crimes/ASB incidents that fall within the City do not impact on the figures of the Wards that include some of the City Centre

The Crime, ASB and Cleanliness Index scores have been given a RAG rating based on their comparison to the previous year's performance.

